	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/751,292	HOFFMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK	1631
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>BEN TABOR</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 March 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Tabor was contacted by telephone on 04 March 2011 to discuss the examiners amendment to claims 36 and 50. A telephonic message was left. On 7 March 2011, Mr. Tabor returned the called and authorized the examiners amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red 	examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary		
(A _I	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)